HelpAge International written statement to the 11th Open-ended Working Group on Ageing for the purpose of strengthening the protection of the human rights of older persons, 29th March – 1st April 2021

Item 6. Follow-up to resolution 75/152: High-level Panel on COVID-19 and Older Persons 30th March 2021

Public health responses to COVID-19 have reaffirmed the inadequate protection against discrimination on the basis of older age in both national and international law.

Throughout the pandemic governments have used older age to restrict the rights of older people including their rights to non-discrimination, freedom of movement, care and support, work, housing, life and equal access to healthcare and other services.

The age criteria in these restrictions appear to be arbitrary, ranging from, for example, over the age of 58 in Kenya¹, over 60 in the Philippines², over 63 in Moldova³, over 65 in Switzerland⁴, over 67 in the North Macedonia Republic⁵, over 70 in Serbia⁶, over 75 in the Bahamas⁷ and over 80 in Chile⁸. The majority have imposed a restriction on all movement, while some have restricted specific activities including work⁹, religious worship¹⁰, use of
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public transport\textsuperscript{11}, shopping and going to restaurants\textsuperscript{12}. They have been introduced in low-, middle- and high-income countries. Some have been mandatory\textsuperscript{13}, while others have been advisory\textsuperscript{14}. Despite being introduced for public health reasons, they have resulted in older people’s rights being denied in a way that others’ have not and as such they are discriminatory and do not comply with international human rights law.

“Our movements have been restricted. It seems like we’re caged. It’s like we’re imprisoned. Even though we are able and healthy, someone questions us if they see us outside the house.”
74-year-old man, former teacher and overseas worker living with his spouse, child and grandchild in an urban area, The Philippines\textsuperscript{15}

Age discrimination, the denial of older people’s rights and systemic ageism have often gone unrecognised in the pandemic. Age-based restrictions on COVID-19 related health care have been put on health insurance policies.\textsuperscript{16} Some older people have reported being turned away from health facilities because they are older and age has been included as the basis for deciding who has access to scarce medical resources in COVID-19 triage protocols.\textsuperscript{17}

“There were only three ventilators in the hospital and they were in great demand. Five minutes later, my grandfather died. I reported this to the staff and one of them told me that they had to give the ventilator to a younger man who had been admitted as it is their policy to prioritise younger patients.”
Souzi (named changed to protect identity), Democratic Republic of Congo\textsuperscript{18}

A convention on the rights of older persons would have made a practical difference.

The UN Secretary General has suggested that the lack of an international legal instrument, alongside inadequate national protection of rights, resulted in the inadequate responses to the pandemic.
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Having an explicit prohibition of age discrimination in a convention on the rights of older people would mean that age is included as an explicit ground upon which public health emergency responses cannot discriminate and age discrimination would be treated as seriously as other forms of discrimination in national legislation.

Having a positive equality duty in a convention to assess the impact of laws, policies and decisions on older persons before they are introduced would prevent age discrimination before it happens, for example in age-based lockdown measures, triage protocols and in access to vaccines.

Having positive duties to raise awareness of and eliminate ageist stereotypes and prejudices in a convention would mean that ageist hate speech would face similar sanctions as other forms of hate speech and the justifications upon which age discrimination is deemed lawful would be tested to ensure they are not based on ageist assumptions.

Seventy-five per cent of Member States (146 out of 193) have committed to promoting and respecting the rights of older persons and endorsed the UN Secretary General’s policy brief. They must respond to his call to ‘build stronger legal frameworks at both national and international levels to protect the human rights of older persons, including by accelerating the efforts of the General Assembly’s working group to develop proposals for an international legal instrument to promote and protect the rights and dignity of older persons.’

Drafting a convention must start now.

For further information, contact Bridget Sleap, Senior rights policy adviser, bsleap@helpage.org